UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,871	04/18/2005	Johan Theodore Reid-Robertson	6502-1039	1174
YOUNG & THOMPSON 745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202			EXAMINER	
			HAGEMAN, MARK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
7110211101011,	V11 22202		3653	
				·
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
·	10/500,871	REID-ROBERTSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mark Hageman	3653			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		correspondence address			
Period for Reply	/ 10 0ET TO EVOIDE * MONT!	VOLOR TURBELLOS DAVO			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ja	nnuarv 2007.				
<u> </u>	action is non-final.				
•	,—				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) Claim(s) 54-70 is/are pending in the application	١.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>54-70</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 January 2007 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	ce Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119((a)-(d) or (f).			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applica	ation No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not recei-	ved.			
		•			
A44					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ny (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Patent Application			
Taper Hotspiral Date	ол <u>—</u> — .				

Application/Control Number: 10/500,871 Page 2

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 54, 56-58, 61, 62, 68-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4,674,251 to Wolff. Wolff discloses, a body (5) with an upper screening surface, a lower discharge surface, a plurality of beam formations (5, 6 figure 1) each of which extends at least partially across the body, at least a first plurality of apertures (6) in the body defined by the beam formations, an integrally formed reinforcing frame (9) which is at least partially embedded in the body and which is made of a first plastics material (c3 lines 16-20), and a plurality of spacers (13) which are integrally formed on the frame and at least partially embedded in the body (c4 lines 1-6).
 - -Re claim 56, Wolff discloses, the frame includes at least one cross member (4).
- -Re claim 57, Wolff discloses, a plurality of keying formations on the frame (10 c3 lines 33-37).
- -Re claim 58, Wolff discloses, each of the keying formations is in the form of a groove, spigot, or aperture (10 c3 lines 33-37).
- -Re claim 61, Wolff discloses, he body is made from a second plastics material (c2 lines 4-5).
 - -Re claim 62, Wolff discloses, the body includes a plurality of location formations

Application/Control Number: 10/500,871 Page 3

Art Unit: 3653

(7, 8).

-Re claim 68, Wolff discloses, a plurality of first cross members which extend from at least one beam formation in a first direction and a plurality second cross members which extend from the one beam formation in second direction which is opposite to the first direction, each of the second cross members being directly opposite a respective first cross member (5, 6 figure 1).

-Re claim 69, Wolff discloses, screening formation with a first screening section which extends in a first direction, a second screening section attached to the first screening section which extends in a second direction which is different from the first direction, a third screening section attached to the second screening section which extends in a third direction which is parallel to the first direction and a fourth screening section attached to the third screening section which extend parallel the second direction (5,

6 figure 1).

-Re claim 70, Wolff discloses, the second direction is at a right angle to the first direction (5,6 figure 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. Claims 59 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolff. Wolff discloses all the limitations of the claims except the specific numeric ratios 2:5 to 2:3 for claim 59 and 2:4 for claim 60. Wolff does show frame elements with cross sections that are taller than they are wide (2, 4, 9). It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to make the frame elements with the specific slenderness ratios to provide adequate rigidity to the frame structure.
- 5. Claim 55 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolff in view of US 3,351,228 to Huisman. Wolff discloses the limitations of claim 54 and, the frame is rectangular in outline (figure 1) and defines at least one rectangular opening (figure 1) and includes a plurality of inner corners. Wolff does not disclose at least one of the inner corners provides an expansion point. Huisman discloses an expansion point (abstract) for the purpose of reducing internal stress and buckling (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have modified Wolff to include the expansion point, as taught by Huisman, for the purpose of reducing internal stress and buckling (abstract).

6. Claim 63 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolff in view of US 6,714,121 to Moore. Wolff discloses all the limitations of the claim except a tag, which is located in the body. Moore discloses a tag, which is located in the body (19 c5 lines 41-42) for the purpose of automatically tracking items (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

Application/Control Number: 10/500,871

Art Unit: 3653

applicant's invention to have modified Wolff to include a tag, which is located in the body, as taught by Moore, for the purpose of automatically tracking the screen.

7. Claims 64-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolff in view of US 4,857,176 to Derrick et al. Wolff discloses all the limitations of the claims except at least one beam formation, in cross-section includes a tapered section and a support section. Derrick discloses at least one beam formation (50 figure 10), in cross-section includes a tapered section (52) and a support section (figure 10) for purpose of decreasing the tendency to clog (c1 lines 37-38).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have modified Wolff to include at least one beam formation (50 figure 10), in cross-section includes a tapered section (52) and a support section (figure 10) for purpose of decreasing the tendency to clog (c1 lines 37-38), as taught by Derrick, for purpose of decreasing the tendency to clog (c1 lines 37-38).

-Re claim 65, Wolff further discloses a reinforcing insert (12).

-Re claim 66, Wolff discloses all the limitations of the claim except the tapered section has a reducing taper from the screening surface towards the discharge surface ad the support section is located between the tapered section and the discharge surface. Derrick discloses the tapered section has a reducing taper from the screening surface towards the discharge surface ad the support section is located between the tapered section and the discharge surface (29", 52, 50 figure 10) for purpose of decreasing the tendency to clog (c1 lines 37-38).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

applicant's invention to have modified Wolff to include the tapered section has a reducing taper from the screening surface towards the discharge surface ad the support section is located between the tapered section and the discharge surface (29", 52, 50 figure 10), as taught by Derrick, for purpose of decreasing the tendency to clog (c1 lines 37-38).

8. Claims 67 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolff in view of US 4,563,270 to Wolff (referred to hereafter as Wolff 270). Wolff discloses all the limitations of the claims except the first plurality of apertures are in communication with one another. Wolff 270 discloses the first plurality of apertures are in communication with one another (5 figure 1) for the purpose of providing a self-cleaning effect (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have modified Wolff to include the first plurality of apertures are in communication with one another, as taught by Wolff 270 for the purpose of providing a self cleaning effect (abstract).

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 1-10-2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant stated, "an integrally formed reinforcing frame made from a plastics material is not suggested by any of the prior art documents." Examiner disagrees and maintains that Wolff (251) discloses an integrally formed reinforcing frame made from a plastics material. Premouldings (9) are made from plastic specifically polyamide or PVC (c3 lines 27+) and are integrally formed and at least

Application/Control Number: 10/500,871

Art Unit: 3653

partially imbedded as the supports (2) are molded around the premouldings (c3 lines 15+).

Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Hageman whose telephone number is (571) 272-3027. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Mackey can be reached on (571) 272-6916. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,871 Page 8

Art Unit: 3653

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MCH

PATRICK MACKEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600